

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/632,188 | 07/30/2003 | Suzanne Davison | 179222/0002 | 3959 |
| 30084 7 | 590 11/30/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| DONN K. HARMS | | | ALI, SHUMAYA B | |
| PATENT & TI | RADEMARK LAW CEN | TER | | |
| SUITE 100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 12702 VIA CORTINA | | | 3743 | |
| DEL MAR, C. | A 92014 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/632,188 | DAVISON ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Shumaya B. Ali | 3743 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se | eptember 2005. | | | | |
| , | action is non-final. | | | | |
| , | , - | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | | · | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,12 and 14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-11,13,15-31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| · | | (4) (5) | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) ite atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3,5-11,13,15-161 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1û3(a) as being unpatentable over Schwebel US Patent Application Publication 2993/9193644A1 in view of Rhoades et al, US Patent 6.247.811 B1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3,5-11,13,15-16,19- 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwebel US Patent Application Publication 2993/9193644A1 in view of Rhoades et al, US Patent 6.247.811 B1 and further in view of Einhorn US Patent 6,003,990.
- 4. **As to claim 1,** Schwebel discloses an apparatus for treating dry eye conditions comprising: a pair constructive nature of the Lenses. In addition, the claimed Imitation is considered obvious design choice since the nature/design of the Lenses has to accommodate the nature/design of the frame they will be engaged in. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the Lenses of Schwebel in order to make the lenses soft pliable or curved for the purposes of accommodating the shape of the frame they will be engaged in) of curved (Schwebel discloses a frame constructed to conform generally to the contour of the user's face, see 9243 Lines 5-6,

Art Unit: 3743

additionally discloses frame front may be structured in a fashion generally similar to a goggles and eyeglasses discloses in figures 3 and 4. Also stated one skilled in the art will readily realize that the general principal can be adapted t: any sort of eyewear construction, see 1942 lines 4-12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinal skills in the ad at the time the invention was made to construct the Lenses curved or other design choices for the purposes of adapting the Lenses to any sort of eyewear construction) Lenses (see fig.3 reference object 40), each of said Lenses maintained within a frame (see fig.3 reference object 28). A soft pliable (see 9944 Lines 1-2) gasket (seal) (see fig.4 reference object 28) on a back portion of each of said frames, said gasket designed to substantially encircle ant contact the orbital bone of an eye socket of the wearer (fig.3 discloses an eyeglasses which is considered to encircle at least the eyes and therefore capable of substantially encircling the orbital bone as well; see 0043 Lines 7-8); a bridge (see fig.3 reference object: 4) coupling said frames', and a flexible, contoured strap (see fig-l reference object 18) having two end portions (the end portions are coupled to mask 12 in fig.) and a center portion (portion between the two ends), wherein said two end portions are coupled to said fames and said center portion is designed so as to maintain said apparatus on a wearer (see 2979 Lines 1-6) (embodiment 1 discloses an elastic strap and embodiment 3 discloses a temple as retaining means. Schwebel discloses that the strap disclosed in embodiment 1 may be used on eyeglasses, see 9039 Lines 3-4, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the ad at the time the inventions was made to modify the temple of embodiment 3 in view of 1 in order to provide an elastic strap for the purposes of retaining the eyeglass in a comfortable position in apposition to the face of an user, see 0039 Lines 5-6); said apparatus adapted

Art Unit: 3743

and constructed to increase or maintain the humidity amount the eyes of the wearer by reducing the evaporation of natural or artificial tears, or added moisture, and by increasing or maintaining the temperature around the eyes of the wearer (see 0927 Lines 1-5), however does not disclose a pair of soft pliable frame and lenses.

- 5. As to claim 1, Imitation "soft, pliable frame" Rhoades et al. teach a multipurpose eyewear that uses a pliable frame constructed from a pliable material that is
 conformable to the contours of the human face (see col.3 Lines 51-55). The frame is also
 considered soft since it is capable of contouring around the face. Therefore, it would
 have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was
 made to modify the frame of Schwebel in view of Rhoades et al. in order to make it
 soft and pliable for the purposes of contouring around the face and further achieve
 a fluid tight seal around the wearer's face.
- 6. As to claim 1, limitation "soft pliable lenses" are taught by Einhorn (col.2 lines 1-10, 25-43), where the soft pliable nature of the lenses allow easy press on of the lenses to a frame. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the eye apparatus of Schwebel in view of Rhoades et al. and in further in view of Einhorn in order to provide soft pliable lenses for the purposes of allowing easy placement/attachment of the lenses to a frame.
- 7. **As to claim 2,** Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a moisture pad (see fig.4 reference object 46) adapted to be maintained between said apparatus and the eyes of the wearer (see 0044 Lines 5-8), said moisture pad desired and constructed to aid in increasing or maintaining the humidity around the eyes of the wearer (see 9057 Lines 8-11).

- 8. **As to claim 3**, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 2, wherein said moisture pad is formed of an endothermic or exothermic material (see 0044 Lines 11-12; 1049 Lines 14).
- 9. **As to claim 4**, Schwebel does not disclose the apparatus of claim 2, wherein said moisture pat is formed of a visco-elastic foam, however applicant has not establish criticalities regarding a particular type of moisture pad used in the invention. Therefore, the pad disclosed by Schwebel is considered an equivalent structure capable of providing the similar function of a moisture pad made frame a visco-elastic foam (see 0û53 Lines 8-11).
- 10. **As to claim 5**, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein each of said Lenses and said frames are formed as unitarily structures (see 9û43 Lines 1-3).
- 11. 14. As to claim 6, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said Lenses, said frames, and said bridge are formed as a unitary structures (see 1042 Lines 1-3),
- 12. As to claim 7, Schwebel does not discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said lenses and said frames are each format of flexible polyurethane, however applicant has not established criticalities regarding Lenses constructed frame polyurethanes versus other alternative lens construction material. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to have Lenses constructed from a flexible polyurethane or an alternative material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the ad to select a known material en the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Art Unit: 3743

- 13. **As to claim 9,** Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said Lenses are opaque (see 0943 Line 4).
- 14. **As to claim 10,** Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said Lenses are translucent (see 0943 line 5),
- 15. **As to claim 11**, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said Lenses are colored 9943 Line 5).
- 16. As to claim 13, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said gaskets are formed of visco-elastic foam (embodiment 3 does not disclose visco-elastic foam gasket, however embodiment 1 discloses a gasket 16 may be made from closed or open cell foam, silicon or moisture impermeable fabric, see 2038 Lines 8-1û. The closed/open cell foam is considered visco-elastic type material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the gasket of embodiment 7 in view of embedment 1 in order to provide a visco-elastic foam gasket for the purposes of providing commendable junction between the gasket and the skin of the user).
- 17. **As to claim 15,** Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said gaskets are formed of an endothermic or exothermic material (seal is made from either closed or open cell foam, silicon or moisture impermeable fabric. Therefore, the seal material is capable of releasing heat),
- 18. **As to claim 16**, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said gaskets are at least primarily covered with a fabric (embodiment 3 does not disclose visco-elastic foam gasket, however embodiment 1 discloses a gasket 16 may be made from closed or open cell foam, silicon or moisture impermeable fabric, see ûû38 lines 8-10. The

Art Unit: 3743

closed/open cell foam is considered visco-elastic type material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinal skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the gasket of embodiment 2 in view of embodiment 1 in order to provide a visco-elastic foam gasket for the purposes of providing comfortable junction between the gasket and the skin of the user).

19. As to claim 19, Schwebel discloses an apparatus for treating dry eye conditions comprising: a pair of (regarding soft and pliable, applicant has not established criticalities regarding the constructive nature of the Lenses, in addition, the claimed Imitation is considered obvious design choice since the nature/design of the Lenses has to accommodate the nature/design of the frame they will be engaged in. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinal skills in the ad to modify the lenses of Schwebel in order to make the Lenses soft pliable or curved for the purposes of accommodating the shape of the frame they will be engaged in), curved (Schwebel discloses a frame constructed to conform generally to the contour of the user's face, see 0042 lines 5-6, additionally discloses frame front may be structured in a fashion generally similar to a goggles and eyeglasses discloses in figures 3 and 4, Also stated one skilled in the ad will readily realize that the general principal can be adapted to any sod of eyewear construction, see 0042 Lines 4-12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the Lenses curved or other design choices for the purposes of adapting the Lenses to any sod of eyewear construction) eyecups (see fig.3 reference object 40) each of said eyecups designed and constructed to substantially encircle and contact the orbital bones of the eye sockets of the wearer (fig.: discloses an eyeglasses which is considered to

Art Unit: 3743

encircle at least the eyes and therefore capable of substantially encircling the orbital bone as well; see 0043 Lines .7-8); while minimizing tissue pressure and occlusion of the blood and lymphatic vessels in the orbital area, so as to comfortably increase or maintain the humidity around the eyes of the wearer by reducing the evaporation of natural or artificial tears, or added moisture, ant by increasing or maintaining the temperature around the eyes of the wearer. As to claim 12, Imitation "soft, pliable frame" Rhoades et al. teach a multi-purpose eyewear that uses a pliable frame constructed from a pliable material that is conformable to the contours of the human face (see col.3 Lines 51-55). The frame is also considered soft since it is capable of contouring around the face. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the frame of Schwebel in view of Rhoades et al. in order to make it soft and pliable for the purposes of contouring around the face and further achieve a fluid tight seal around the wearer's face. As to claim 12, limitation "soft pliable lenses" are taught by Einhorn (col.2 lines 1-10, 25-43), where the soft pliable nature of the lenses allow easy press on of the lenses to a frame. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to modify the eye apparatus of Schwebel in view of Rhoades et al. and in further in view of Einhorn in order to provide soft pliable lenses for the purposes of allowing easy placement/attachment of the lenses to a frame.

20. **As to claim 20**, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a visco-elastic gasket (embodiment 3 does not disclose visco-elastic foam gasket, however embodiment 1 discloses a gasket 16 may be made from closed or open cell foam, silicon or moisture impermeable fabric, see 0938 Lines 8-10. The closed/open cell foam is

Art Unit: 3743

considered visco-elastic type material. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the ad at the time the invention was made to modify the gasket of embodiment 3 in view of embodiment 1 in order to provide a visco-elastic foam gasket for the purposes of providing comfortable junction between the gasket and the skin of the user) positioned on each of said eyecups (see fig.3 reference object 38, gasket seem to be positioned on each eyecups).

- 21. **As to claim 21**, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 19, further comprising a moisture pad (see fig.4 reference object 46) adapted to be maintained between said eyecups and the eyes of the wearer (see 0044 Lines 5-8), said moisture pad designed ant constructed to aid in increasing or maintaining the humidity around the eyes of the wearer (see 0953 Lines 8-11).
- 22. As to claim 22, Schwebel discloses an apparatus for treating dry eye conditions comprising: a pair of soft pliable (regarding soft and pliable, applicant has not established criticalities regarding the constructive nature of the Lenses. In addition, the claimed Imitation is considered obvious design choice since the nature/design of the Lenses has to accommodate the nature/design of the frame they will be engaged in. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the ad to modify the Lenses of Schwebel in order to make the Lenses soft pliable or cu-ed for the purposes of accommodating the shape of the frame they will be engaged in) curvet (Schwebel discloses a frame constructed to conform generally to the contour of the user's face, see ûû42 Lines 5-6, additionally discloses frame front may be structured in a fashion generally similar to a goggles and eyeglasses discloses in figures 3 and 4, Also stated one skilled in the art will readily realize that the general principal can be adapted to any sort of eyewear

Art Unit: 3743

construction, see 0042 Lines 4-12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the lenses or ether design choices for the purposes of adapting the Lenses to any sod of eyewear construction) eyecups (see fig.3 reference object 40), each of said eyecups designed and constructed to substantially encircle ant contact the orbital bones of an eye socket of the wearer (fig-z discloses an eyeglasses which is considered to encircle at least the eyes and therefore capable of substantially encircling the orbital bone as well; see 9943 Lines 7-8); a thin, soft elastic strap having two end portions attached to said eyecups ant designed so as to maintain the apparatus on the wearer (see 0039 Lines 1-6) (embodiment 1 discloses an elastic strap and embodiment 3 discloses a temple as retaining means. Schwebel discloses that the strap disclosed in embodiment 1 may be used on eyeglasses, see 1039 Lines 3-4, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the ad at the time the invention was made to modify the temple of embodiment 3 in view of 1 in order to provide an elastic strap for the purposes of retaining the eyeglass in a comfortable position in apposition to the face of an user, see 0039 lines 5-6); and wherein said eyecups and strap each are formed of specific flexible materials (elastic, see û039 Line 2) such that said apparatus can be adjusted so that the dynamic tension between the eyecups ant the strap provide a comfort level associated with a maximum 50% IFD of said eyecups while the humidity proximate the eye sockets of the wearer (see 0927 lines 1-5). As to claim 23, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 22, further comprising 23.

As to claim 23, Schwebel discloses the apparatus of claim 22, further comprising a pair of visco elastic gaskets positioned on said eyecups (see fig.4 reference object 32), wherein said gaskets provide an effective seal around the eye sockets of the wearer (see 0944 Lines 1-3),

Art Unit: 3743

24. As to claim 24, Schwebel discloses an apparatus for treating dry eye conditions comprising: a pair of soft, pliable (regarding soft and pliable, applicant has not established criticalities regarding the constructive nature of the Lenses, In addition, the claimed Imitation is considered obvious design choice since the nature/design of the Lenses has to accommodate the nature/design of the frame they will be engaged in. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the lenses of Schwebel in order to make the lenses soft pliable or curved for the purposes of accommodating the shape of the frame they will be engaged in), curvet (Schwebel discloses a frame constructed to conform generally to the contour Of the user's face, see 0143 lines 5-6, additionally discloses frame front may be structured in a fashion generally similar to a goggles and eyeglasses discloses in figures 3 and 4. Also stated one skilled in the art will readily realize that the general principal can be adapted to any sod of eyewear construction, see 1942 Lines 4-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the Lenses cu-ed or other design choices for the purposes of adapting the Lenses to any sod of eyewear construction) lenses (see fig.3 reference object 4û), each of said lenses maintained within a frame (see fig.3 reference object 28). A soft pliable (see 9044 Lines 1-2) gasket (seal) (see fig.4 reference object 38) on a back potion of each of said frames, said gasket desired to substantially encircle and contact the orbital bones of an eye socket of the wearer (see 0139 Lines 1-6) (embodiment 1 discloses an elastic strap and embodiment 3 discloses a temple as retaining means. Schwebel discloses that the strap disclosed in embodiment 1 may be used on eyeglasses, see 1929 Lines 2-4, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time

Art Unit: 3743

the invention was made to modify the temple of embodiment: in view of 1 in order to provide an elastic strap for the purposes of retaining the eyeglass in a comfortable position in apposition to the face of an user, see 0939 Lines 5-6); a bridge (see fig.: reference object 34) coupling said frames; said apparatus adapted and constructed to increase or maintain the humidity around the eyes of the wearer by reducing the evaporation of normal or artificial tears, or added moisture and by increasing or maintaining the temperature around the eyes of the wearer (see 0053 Lines 8-11), however does not disclose a pair of soft, pliable Lenses.

25. As to claim 24, limitation "soft, pliable frame" Rhoades et al. teach a multipurpose eyewear that uses a pliable frame constructed from a pliable material that is
conformable to the contours of the human face (see coI.3 lines 51-55). The frame is also
considered soft since it is capable of contouring amount the face, Therefore, it would
have' been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was
made to modify the frame of Schwebel in view of Rhoades et al. in order to make it
soft and pliable for the purposes of contouring around the face and further achieve
a fluid tight seal around the wearer's face.

Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwebel US Patent Application Publication 2993/9193644A1, Rhoades et al, US Patent 6.247.811 B1, Einhorn US Patent 6,003,990, and in view of Kawashima US Patent 6,721.963 B1.

26. **As to claim 17**, Kawashima teaches an eye protection device, goggles with a head strap (see 20) ant a central portion (see Labeled fig, attachment below) situated

Art Unit: 3743

between the two ends are wider than the end portions of the strap. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinal skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the strap of Schwebel in view of Kawashima in order to make the ends of the straps narrower than the center portion for the purposes of better accommodating a wearer's head and further prevent slipping of the strap by providing a Larger area at the center.

- 27. **As to claim 18,** Schwebel does net disclose the apparatus of claim 17, wherein said strap is formed of a four-way stretch polyester band.
- 28. As to claim 18, Kawashima teaches an eye protection device, goggles with a heat strap (see fig. Reference object 12) made of a flexible material such as rubber, plastic elastomer or elastically stretchable synthetic fiber (see coI.4 Lines 17-20). Flexible strap of Kawashima is considered a four-way polyester blend since the strap is capable of stretching in an upward/downward direction along the central portion and a right or Left direction along the two end portions discussed above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the strap of Schwebel in view of Kawashima in order to provide a way polyester blend for the purposes of better accommodating a wearer's heads.

NOTICE: refer to office action mailed 4/5/2005 for labeled fig.1

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

Art Unit: 3743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shumaya B Ali

Page 14

Examiner

Art Unit 3743

Hanz Fannett

Supervisory Hent Examiner